Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/753,061	BOELENS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARTIN ROGERS	1747
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) MARTIN ROGERS. VZ	(3)	
(2) <u>Fraser Roy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 March 2011.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 23</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Guinan (Pre-Grant 2003/0060832).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed claim language which involved the elimination of a heating step during protrusion formation on the catheter. The examiner stated that although this type of amendment to the claim language appeared to overcome the rejection, further search and consideration of the prior art would be needed to determine whether or not is described patentable subject matter.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
•		